arXiv:1605.06252v1 [cs.SY] 20 May 2016

Shaping Pulses to Control Bistable Monotone Systems Using Koopman Operator

Aivar Sootla * **Alexandre Mauroy** ** **Jorge Goncalves** ***

* *Montefiore Institute, University of Liège, B-4000 Liège, Belgium (e-mail: asootla@ulg.ac.be).*
** *Luxembourg Centre for Systems Biomedicine, University of Luxembourg, L-4367 Belvaux, Luxembourg (e-mail: alexandre.mauroy@uni.lu)*
*** *Luxembourg Centre for Systems Biomedicine, University of Luxembourg, L-4367 Belvaux, Luxembourg (e-mail: jorge.goncalves@uni.lu)*

Abstract: In this paper, we further develop a recently proposed control method to switch a bistable system between its steady states using temporal pulses. The motivation for using pulses comes from biomedical and biological applications (e.g. synthetic biology), where it is generally difficult to build feedback control systems due to technical limitations in sensing and actuation. The original framework was derived for monotone systems and all the extensions relied on monotone systems theory. In contrast, we introduce the concept of switching function which is related to eigenfunctions of the so-called Koopman operator subject to a fixed control pulse. Using the level sets of the switching function we can (i) compute the set of all pulses that drive the system toward the steady state in a synchronous way and (ii) estimate the time needed by the flow to reach an epsilon neighborhood of the target steady state. Additionally, we show that for monotone systems the switching function is also monotone in some sense, a property that can yield efficient algorithms to compute it. This observation recovers and further extends the results of the original framework, which we illustrate on numerical examples inspired by biological applications.

1. INTRODUCTION

In many applications, the use of a time-varying feedback control signal is impeded by the limitations in sensing and actuation. One of such applications is synthetic biology, which aims to engineer and control biological functions in living cells (Brophy and Voigt (2014)), and which is an emerging field of science with applications in metabolic engineering, bioremediation and energy sector (Purnick and Weiss (2009)). Recently, control theoretic regulation of protein levels in microbes was shown to be possible by Milias-Argeitis et al. (2011); Menolascina et al. (2011); Uhlendorf et al. (2012). However, the proposed methods are hard to automate due to physical constraints in sensing and actuation (for example, using the techniques from Levskaya et al. (2009); Mettetal et al. (2008)). In the context of actuation, adding a chemical solution to the culture is fairly straightforward, but in contrast, removing a chemical from the culture is much more complicated (this could be done through diluting, but would be time consuming and hard to perform repeatedly). In regard to these constraints, it is therefore desirable to derive control policies which can not only solve a problem (perhaps not optimally) but are also simple enough to be implemented in an experimental setting.

The pioneering development in synthetic biology was the design of the so-called *genetic toggle switch* by Gardner et al. (2000), which is a synthetic genetic system (or a circuit) of two mutually repressive genes *LacI* and *TetR*. Mutual repression means that only one of the genes can be activated or switched "on" at a time. The activated gene expresses proteins within a cell, hence the number of proteins expressed by the "on" gene is much higher than the number of proteins of the "off" gene. This entails the possibility of modeling this genetic circuit by a bistable dynamical system. Since toggle switches serve as one of the major building blocks in synthetic biology, we set up the control problem of switching from one stable fixed point to another (or toggling a gene). Recently, Sootla et al. (2015, 2016) proposed to solve the problem using temporal pulses $u(t)$ with fixed length τ and magnitude μ:

$$u(t) = \mu h(t,\tau) \qquad h(t,\tau) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq t \leq \tau\,, \\ 0 & t > \tau\,. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

In the case of monotone systems (cf. Angeli and Sontag (2003)), the set of all pairs (μ, τ) allowing a switch (i.e. *the switching set*) was completely characterized. In particular, the boundary of this set, called *the switching separatrix*, was shown to be monotone, a result which significantly simplifies the computation of the switching set. However,

[1] A. Sootla holds an F.R.S–FNRS fellowship. This work was performed when A. Mauroy was with the University of Liège and held a return grant from the Belgian Science Policy (BELSPO).

the contributions of Sootla et al. (2016) provide only a binary answer (on whether a given control pulse switches the system or not), but do not characterize the time needed to converge to the steady state.

In this paper, we conduct a theoretical study which extends the results by Sootla et al. (2016) and provides a temporal characterization of the effects of switching pulses. To do so, we exploit the framework of the so-called Koopman operator (cf. Mezić (2005)), which is a linear infinite dimensional representation of a nonlinear dynamical system. In particular, we use the spectral properties of the operator, focusing on the Koopman eigenfunctions (i.e. infinite dimensional eigenvectors of the operator). We first introduce the *switching function*, which we define as a function of μ and τ related to the dominant Koopman eigenfunction. Each level set of the switching function characterizes a set of pairs (μ, τ) describing control pulses that drive the system synchronously to the target fixed point. Hence, the switching function provides a temporal characterization of the controlled trajectories. The switching separatrix introduced by Sootla et al. (2016) is interpreted in this framework as a particular level set of the switching function. Furthermore, there is a direct relationship between the level sets of the switching function and the so-called isostables introduced in Mauroy et al. (2013).

Since the switching function is defined through a Koopman eigenfunction, it can be computed in the Koopman operator framework with numerical methods based on Laplace averages. These methods can be applied to a very general class of systems, but usually require extensive simulations. However, we show that the switching function of monotone systems is also monotone in some sense, so that its level sets can be computed in a very efficient manner by using the algorithm proposed in Sootla and Mauroy (2016b). The key to reducing the computational complexity is to exploit the properties of the Koopman eigenfunctions of a monotone system.

The main contribution of this paper is to provide a theoretical framework that relates the Koopman operator to control problems. We note, however, that the eigenfunctions of the Koopman operator can be estimated directly from the observed data using dynamic mode decomposition methods (cf. Schmid (2010); Tu et al. (2014)). Therefore our results could potentially be extended to a data-based setting, which would increase their applicability.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we cover some basics of monotone systems theory and Koopman operator theory. In Section 3, we review the shaping pulses framework from Sootla et al. (2016) and present the main results of this paper. We illustrate the theoretical results on examples in Section 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Consider control systems in the following form

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u), \quad x(0) = x_0, \tag{2}$$

with $f : \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $u : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathcal{U}$, and where $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and u belongs to the space $\mathcal{U}_\infty$ of Lebesgue measurable functions with values from $\mathcal{U}$. We define the flow map $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{U}_\infty \to \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\phi(t, x_0, u)$ is a solution to the system (2) with an initial condition x_0 and a control signal u. If $u = 0$, then we call the system (2) *unforced*. We denote the Jacobian matrix of $f(x, 0)$ as $J(x)$. If x^* is a fixed point of the unforced system, we assume that the eigenvectors of $J(x^*)$ are linearly independent, for the sake of simplicity. We denote the eigenvalues of $J(x^*)$ by λ_i.

Koopman Operator. Spectral properties of nonlinear dynamical systems can be described through an operator-theoretic framework that relies on the so-called Koopman operator $L = f^T \nabla$, which is an operator acting on the functions $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ (also called observables). We limit our study of the Koopman operator to unforced systems (2) (that is, with $u = 0$) on a basin of attraction $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of a stable hyperbolic fixed point x^* (that is, the eigenvalues λ_j of the Jacobian matrix $J(x^*)$ are such that $\Re(\lambda_j) < 0$ for all j). In this case, the Koopman operator admits a point spectrum and the eigenvalues λ_j of the Jacobian matrix $J(x^*)$ are also eigenvalues of the Koopman operator. In the non-hyperbolic case, the analysis is more involved since the spectrum of the Koopman operator may be continuous. The operator L generates a semigroup acting on observables g

$$U^t g(x) = g \circ \phi(t, x, 0), \tag{3}$$

where $\circ$ is the composition of functions and $\phi(t, x, 0)$ is a solution to the unforced system for $x \in \mathcal{B}$. Since the operator is linear (cf. Mezic (2013)), it is natural to study its spectral properties. In particular, the eigenfunctions $s_j : \mathcal{B} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ of the Koopman operator are defined as the functions satisfying $L s_j = f^T \nabla s_j = \lambda_j s_j$, or equivalently

$$U^t s_j(x) = s_j(\phi(t, x, 0)) = s_j(x)\, e^{\lambda_j t}, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}, \tag{4}$$

where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ is the associated eigenvalue.

If the vector field f is a C^2 function, then the eigenfunctions s_j are C^1 functions (Mauroy and Mezic (2016)). If the vector field f is analytic and if the eigenvalues λ_j are simple, the flow of the system can be expressed through the following expansion (see e.g. Mauroy et al. (2013)):

$$\phi(t, x, 0) = x^* + \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j(x) v_j e^{\lambda_j t} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, \ldots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ k_1 + \cdots + k_n > 1}} v_{k_1, \ldots, k_n}\, s_1^{k_1}(x) \cdots s_n^{k_n}(x) e^{(k_1 \lambda_1 + \ldots k_n \lambda_n) t}, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathbb{N}_0$ is the set of nonnegative integers, λ_j, v_j are the eigenvalues and right eigenvectors of the Jacobian matrix $J(x^*)$, respectively, and the vectors $v_{k_1, \ldots, k_n}$ are the Koopman modes (see Mezić (2005); Mauroy and Mezic (2016) for more details). Note that a similar expansion can also be obtained if the eigenvalues are not simple (cf. Mezic (2015)).

Throughout the paper we assume that λ_j are such that $0 > \Re(\lambda_1) > \Re(\lambda_j)$ for all $j \geq 2$. In this case, the eigenfunction s_1, which we call a dominant eigenfunction, can be computed through the so-called Laplace average

$$g^*_\lambda(x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (g \circ \phi(t, x, 0)) e^{-\lambda t} dt. \tag{6}$$

For all g that satisfy $g(x^*) = 0$ and $\nabla g(x^*) \cdot v_1 \neq 0$, the Laplace average $g^*_{\lambda_1}$ is equal to $s_1(x)$ up to a multiplication with a scalar. If we let $g(x) = w_1^T (x - x^*)$, where w_1 is the

left eigenvector of $J(x^*)$ corresponding to λ_1, the limit in (6) does not converge if $x \notin \mathcal{B}$. Therefore, we do not require the knowledge of $\mathcal{B}$ in order to compute s_1. The other eigenfunctions $s_j(x)$ are generally harder to compute using Laplace averages. The eigenfunction s_1 can also be estimated with linear algebraic methods (cf. Mauroy and Mezic (2016)), or obtained directly from data by using dynamic mode decomposition methods (cf. Schmid (2010); Tu et al. (2014)).

The eigenfunction $s_1(x)$ captures the dominant (i.e. asymptotic) behavior of the unforced system. Hence the boundaries $\partial\mathcal{B}^\alpha$ of the sets $\mathcal{B}^\alpha = \{x \,|\, |s_1(x)| \leq \alpha\}$, which are called *isostables*, are important for understanding the dynamics of the system. It can be shown that trajectories with initial conditions on the same isostable $\partial\mathcal{B}^{\alpha_1}$ converge synchronously toward the fixed point, and reach other isostables $\partial\mathcal{B}^{\alpha_2}$, with $\alpha_2 < \alpha_1$, after a time

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{|\Re(\lambda_1)|} \ln\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}\right). \tag{7}$$

In particular, for $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows directly from (5) that the trajectories starting from $\partial\mathcal{B}^\alpha$ share the same asymptotic evolution

$$\phi(t, x, 0) \to x^* + v_1\, \alpha e^{\lambda_1 t}, \quad t \to \infty.$$

Note that isostables could also be defined when the system is driven by an input $u \neq 0$, but they are here considered only to describe the dynamics of the unforced system. A more rigorous definition of isostables and more details can be found in (Mauroy et al. (2013)).

In the case of bistable systems characterized by two equilibria x^* and $x^\bullet$ with basins of attraction $\mathcal{B}(x^*)$ and $\mathcal{B}(x^\bullet)$, respectively, the Koopman operator admits two sets of eigenfunctions s_k^* and $s_k^\bullet$. The eigenfunctions s_k^* (resp. $s_k^\bullet$) are related to the asymptotic convergence toward x^* (resp. $x^\bullet$). The dominant eigenfunctions s_1^* and $s_1^\bullet$ define two families of isostables, each of which is associated with one equilibrium and lies in the corresponding basin of attraction.

Monotone Systems and Their Spectral Properties. We will study the properties of the system (2) with respect to a partial order induced by positive cones in $\mathbb{R}^n$. A set $\mathcal{K}$ is a *positive cone* if $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K} \cap -\mathcal{K} \subseteq \{0\}$. A relation $\sim$ is called a *partial order* if it is reflexive ($x \sim x$), transitive ($x \sim y$, $y \sim z$ implies $x \sim z$), and antisymmetric ($x \sim y$, $y \sim x$ implies $x = y$). We define a partial order $\succeq_\mathcal{K}$ through a cone $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as follows: $x \succeq_\mathcal{K} y$ if and only if $x - y \in \mathcal{K}$. We write $x \not\succeq_\mathcal{K} y$, if the relation $x \succeq_\mathcal{K} y$ does not hold. We also write $x \succ_\mathcal{K} y$ if $x \succeq_\mathcal{K} y$ and $x \neq y$, and $x \gg_\mathcal{K} y$ if $x - y \in \text{int}(\mathcal{K})$. Similarly we can define a partial order on the space of signals $u \in \mathcal{U}_\infty$: $u \succeq_\mathcal{K} v$ if $u(t) - v(t) \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Systems whose flows preserve a partial order relation $\succeq_\mathcal{K}$ are called *monotone systems*. We have the following definition.

Definition 1. The system $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$ is called *monotone* with respect to the cones $\mathcal{K}_x$, $\mathcal{K}_u$ if $\phi(t, x, u) \preceq_{\mathcal{K}_x} \phi(t, y, v)$ for all $t \geq 0$, and for all $x \preceq_{\mathcal{K}_x} y$, $u \preceq_{\mathcal{K}_u} v$.

The properties of monotone systems require additional definitions. A function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *increasing* with respect to the cone $\mathcal{K}$ if $g(x) \leq g(y)$ for all $x \preceq_\mathcal{K} y$. Let $[x,\ y]_\mathcal{K}$ denote the order-interval defined as $[x,\ y]_\mathcal{K} = \{z | x \preceq_\mathcal{K} z \preceq_\mathcal{K} y\}$. A set $\mathcal{A}$ is called *order-convex* if, for all x, y in $\mathcal{A}$, the interval $[x,\ y]_\mathcal{K}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{A}$. A set M is called *p-convex* if, for every x, y in M such that $x \succeq_\mathcal{K} y$ and every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we have that $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in M$. Clearly, order-convexity implies p-convexity. If $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ we say that the corresponding partial order is standard. Without loss of generality, we will only consider the standard partial order throughout the paper.

Proposition 2. (Angeli and Sontag (2003)). Consider the control system (2), where the sets $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{U}$ are p-convex and $f \in C^1(\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{U})$. Then the system (2) is monotone on $\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{U}_\infty$ with respect to $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$, $\mathbb{R}^m_{\geq 0}$ if and only if

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \geq 0, \quad \forall\, i \neq j, \quad (x, u) \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{D}) \times \mathcal{U}$$
$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_j} \geq 0, \quad \forall\, i, j, \quad (x, u) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{U}.$$

A generalization of this result to other cones can be found in Angeli and Sontag (2003). We finally consider the spectral properties of monotone systems that are summarized in the following result. The proof can be found in Sootla and Mauroy (2016b).

Proposition 3. Consider the system $\dot{x} = f(x)$ with $f \in C^2$, which admits a stable hyperbolic fixed point x^* with a basin of attraction $\mathcal{B}$. Assume that $\Re(\lambda_1) > \Re(\lambda_j)$ for all $j \geq 2$. Let v_1 be a right eigenvector of the Jacobian matrix $J(x^*)$ and let s_1 be an eigenfunction corresponding to λ_1 (with $v_1^T \nabla s_1(x^*) = 1$). If the system is monotone with respect to $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ on $\text{int}(\mathcal{B})$, then λ_1 is real and negative. Moreover, there exist $s_1(\cdot)$ and v_1 such that $s_1(x) \geq s_1(y)$ for all x, $y \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $x \succeq y$, and $v_1 \succ 0$.

This result shows that the sets $\mathcal{B}_\alpha = \{x | |s_1(x)| \leq \alpha\}$ are order-convex for any $\alpha > 0$ (cf. Sootla and Mauroy (2016b)).

3. SHAPING PULSES TO SWITCH BETWEEN FIXED POINTS

In this paper we consider the problem of switching between two stable fixed points by using temporal pulses (1). We formalize this problem by making the following assumptions:

- **A1.** Let $f(x, u)$ in (2) be continuous in (x, u) and C^2 in x for every fixed u on $\mathcal{D}_f \times \mathcal{U}$.
- **A2.** Let the unforced system (2) have two stable hyperbolic fixed points in $\mathcal{D}_f$, denoted by x^* and $x^\bullet$, and let $\mathcal{D}_f = \text{cl}(\mathcal{B}(x^*) \cup \mathcal{B}(x^\bullet))$.
- **A3.** For any $u = \mu h(\cdot, \tau)$ with finite μ and τ let $\phi(t, x^*, u)$ belong to $\text{int}(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Moreover, let there exist $\mu > 0$, $\tau > 0$ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(t, x^*, \mu h(\cdot, \tau)) = x^\bullet$.

Assumption A1 guarantees existence and uniqueness of solutions, while Assumption A2 defines a bistable system. Note that in Sootla et al. (2016), the assumptions A1–A2 are less restrictive. That is, $f(x, u)$ is Lipschitz continuous in x for every fixed u, and the fixed points are asymptotically stable. Our assumptions are guided by the use of the Koopman operator. Assumptions A1 and A2 guarantee the existence of eigenfunctions $s_1^*(x)$ and $s_1^\bullet(x)$ that are continuously differentiable on each basin of attraction.

Assumption A3 is technical and ensures that the switching problem is feasible.

The goal of our *control problem* is to characterize the so-called switching set $\mathcal{S}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ (\mu, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{>0} \,\middle|\, \forall t > \tau : \ \phi(t, x^*, \mu h(\cdot, \tau)) \in \mathcal{B}(x^\bullet) \right\}. \tag{8}$$

It is shown in Sootla et al. (2016) that the set $\mathcal{S}$ is simply connected and order-convex under some assumptions, a property which is useful to obtain an efficient computational procedure. In particular, the boundary $\partial\mathcal{S}$, called the switching separatrix, is such that for all (μ, τ), (ν, ξ) in $\partial\mathcal{S}$ we cannot have that $\mu > \nu$ and $\tau > \xi$. The following result sums up one of the theoretical contribution in Sootla et al. (2016).

Proposition 4. Let the system $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$ satisfy Assumptions A1–A3. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) the set $\mathcal{S}$ is order-convex and simply connected;

(ii) let $\phi(\tau_1, x^*, \mu_1 h(\cdot, \tau_1))$ belong to $\mathcal{B}(x^\bullet)$, then the flow $\phi(\tau_2, x^*, \mu_2 h(\cdot, \tau_2)) \in \mathcal{B}(x^\bullet)$ for all $\mu_2 \geq \mu_1$, $\tau_2 \geq \tau_1$.

Moreover, if the system $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$ is monotone with respect to $\mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R}$ on $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{U}_\infty$ and satisfies Assumptions A1–A3, then (i)-(ii) hold.

We also note that the results in Sootla et al. (2016) were extended to account for parametric uncertainty in the vector field under additional constraints. In particular, it is possible to estimate bounds on the switching set $\mathcal{S}$.

Now, we proceed by providing an operator-theoretic point of view on shaping pulses, which allows to study rates of convergence to the fixed point.

Definition 5. Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}$ be a set of (μ, τ) such that $\phi(\tau, x^*, \mu) \in \mathcal{B}(x^\bullet)$. We define the *switching function* $r : \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ by

$$r(\mu, \tau) = s_1^\bullet(\phi(\tau, x^*, \mu))$$

for all (μ, τ) such that $\phi(\tau, x^*, \mu) \in \mathcal{B}(x^\bullet)$.

The level sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ of $|r|$ defined as

$$\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha = \left\{ (\mu, \tau) \in \mathcal{S} \,\middle|\, |r(\mu, \tau)| = \alpha \right\}, \quad \alpha \geq 0$$

are reminiscent of the isostables $\partial\mathcal{B}^\alpha$, which are the level sets of $|s_1|$. We also consider the sublevel sets of $|r|$

$$\mathcal{S}^\alpha = \left\{ (\mu, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{>0} \,\middle|\, |r(\mu, \tau)| \leq \alpha \right\}$$

and it is straightforward to show that the switching set $\mathcal{S}$ in (8) is equal to $\mathcal{S}^\infty = \bigcup_{\alpha \geq 0} \mathcal{S}^\alpha$. The level sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ can therefore be seen as a generalization of the switching separatrix $\partial\mathcal{S} = \partial\mathcal{S}^\infty$.

The level sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ capture the pairs (μ, τ) such that the trajectories $\phi(t, x^*, \mu h(\cdot, \tau))$ reach the isostable $\partial\mathcal{B}^\alpha$ at time $t = \tau$ and cross the same isostables for all $t \geq \tau$. This implies that the trajectories with the pairs (μ, τ) on the same level sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ will take the same time to converge towards the fixed point $x^\bullet$ when the control is switched off. We can for instance estimate the time $\mathcal{T}$ needed to reach the set $\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon$ for a positive ε. It follows from (7) that, for $(\mu, \tau) \in \partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}(\mu, \tau, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{|\lambda_1|} \ln\left(\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon}\right), \tag{9}$$

where a negative $\mathcal{T}$ means that the trajectory is inside the set $\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon$ at time $t = \tau$. Hence, the quantity $\mathcal{T}_{\text{tot}} = \mathcal{T} + \tau$ is the time it takes to reach $\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon$ if $\mathcal{T}$ is nonnegative. For small enough ε, the function $\mathcal{T}_{\text{tot}}(\mu, \tau, \varepsilon)$ approximates the amount of time required to reach a small neighborhood of the fixed point $x^\bullet$.

In order to compute the switching function r, we can again employ Laplace averages

$$\begin{aligned} r(\mu, \tau) &= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T g \circ \phi(t, \phi(\tau, x^*, \mu), 0) e^{-\lambda_1 t} dt \\ &= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_\tau^T g \circ \phi(t, x^*, \mu h(\cdot, \tau)) e^{-\lambda_1 (t - \tau)} dt, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where λ_1 is the dominant Koopman eigenvalue and g satisfies $g(x^\bullet) = 0$ and $v_1^T \nabla g(x^\bullet) \neq 0$. Note again that the limit does not converge unless $\phi(\tau, x^*, \mu)$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(x^\bullet)$.

The computation of $\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ is not an easy task in general, but certainly possible. However, additional assumptions on the system simplify the computation of these sets. From this point on we will assume that $r(\mu, \tau)$ has only real values (i.e. $s_1^\bullet \in \mathbb{R}$), which holds if the dominant Koopman eigenvalue on $\mathcal{B}(x^\bullet)$ is real (see Mauroy et al. (2013)). In this case, the set $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ can be split into two sets

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_- \mathcal{S}^\alpha &= \left\{ (\mu, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{>0} \,\middle|\, r(\mu, \tau) = -\alpha \right\}, \\ \partial_+ \mathcal{S}^\alpha &= \left\{ (\mu, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{>0} \,\middle|\, r(\mu, \tau) = \alpha \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ is order-convex (as it is shown below for the case of monotone systems), then $\partial_-\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ and $\partial_+\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ are the sets of minimal and maximal elements of $\mathcal{S}^\alpha$, respectively. That is, if $x \ll y$ for some $x \in \partial_+\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ (respectively, if $x \gg y$ for some $x \in \partial_-^\alpha \mathcal{S}$) then $y \notin \mathcal{S}$. This implies that $\partial_-\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ and $\partial_+\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ are monotone maps, which significantly facilitates computations of $\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ by applying the algorithm from Sootla and Mauroy (2016b) with a minor modification.

Monotonicity also plays a role in the properties of the sublevel sets $\mathcal{S}^\alpha$, as it does in the properties of the switching separatrix. The main result of the section establishes that, for monotone systems, the sets $\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ are order-convex and $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ are monotone maps.

Theorem 6. Let the system (2) satisfy Assumptions A1–A3 and be monotone on $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{U}^\infty$. Then

(i) the set $\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ is order-convex (with respect to the positive orthant) for any non-zero α;

(ii) the set $\partial_+\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ is a monotone map, that is for all (μ_1, τ_1), $(\mu_2, \tau_2) \in \partial_+\mathcal{S}^\alpha$, if $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ then $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2$, and if $\mu_1 < \mu_2$ then $\tau_1 \geq \tau_2$. Moreover, the set $\partial_-\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ is a graph of a monotonically decreasing function for any finite non-zero α;

(iii) if additionally $\phi(t, x, \mu) \gg \phi(t, x, \nu)$ for all x, all $\mu > \nu \geq 0$ and all $t > 0$, then $\partial_-\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ and $\partial_+\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ are graphs of monotonically decreasing functions for any finite non-zero α.

The proof of Theorem 6 is in Appendix A. An interesting detail is that the level sets $\partial_-\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ are graphs of decreasing functions. This implies that the switching separatrix $\partial\mathcal{S}^\infty$

can be approximated by a graph of a function by setting $\alpha \gg 0$. We can also partially recover the results in Sootla et al. (2016) by letting $\alpha \to +\infty$. Note, however, that $\partial_{-}\mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ is not necessarily a graph of a function, since strict inequalities may no longer hold in the limit.

4. EXAMPLES

Eight Species Generalized Repressilator. This system is an academic example (cf. Strelkowa and Barahona (2010)), where each of the species represses another species in a ring topology. The corresponding dynamic equations for a symmetric generalized repressilator are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= \frac{p_1}{1+(x_8/p_2)^{p_3}} + p_4 - p_5 x_1 + u,\\ \dot{x}_2 &= \frac{p_1}{1+(x_1/p_2)^{p_3}} + p_4 - p_5 x_2,\\ \dot{x}_i &= \frac{p_1}{1+(x_{i-1}/p_2)^{p_3}} + p_4 - p_5 x_i,\ \forall i = 3,\dots 8,\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $p_1 = 100$, $p_2 = 1$, $p_3 = 2$, $p_4 = 1$, and $p_5 = 1$. This system has two stable equilibria x^* and $x^\bullet$ and is monotone with respect to the cones $\mathcal{K}_x = P_x\mathbb{R}^8$ and $\mathcal{K}_u = \mathbb{R}$, where $P_x = \mathrm{diag}([1,\ -1,\ 1,\ -1,\ 1,\ -1,\ 1,\ -1])$. We have also $x^* \preceq_{\mathcal{K}_x} x^\bullet$. It can be shown that the unforced system is strongly monotone in the interior of $\mathbb{R}^8_{\geq 0}$ for all positive parameter values. One can also verify that there exist pulse control signals u that switch the system from the state x^* to the state $x^\bullet$.

The level sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ are depicted in Figure 1, where instead of the values of the level sets we provide the time needed to converge to $\partial\mathcal{B}^{0.01}$. As the reader may notice, the two curves related to $\mathcal{T} = 5$ (i.e. blue solid curves) lie close to each other. They approximate the pairs (μ, τ) that drive the flow to the zero level set of $s_1^\bullet(x)$. It is also noticeable that the level sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ are less dense on the right of these lines. This is explained by the fact that the flow is driven by the pulse beyond the zero level set of $s_1^\bullet(x)$ and has to counteract the dynamics of the system.

The generalized repressilator is a monotone system, and hence the premise of Theorem 6 is fulfilled. The level sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ in Figure 1 appear to be graphs of monotonically decreasing functions, an observation which is consistent with the claim of Theorem 6.

Toxin-antitoxin system. Consider the toxin-antitoxin system studied in Cataudella et al. (2013).

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{T} &= \frac{\sigma_T}{\left(1+\frac{[A_f][T_f]}{K_0}\right)(1+\beta_M[T_f])} - \frac{1}{(1+\beta_C[T_f])}T\\ \dot{A} &= \frac{\sigma_A}{\left(1+\frac{[A_f][T_f]}{K_0}\right)(1+\beta_M[T_f])} - \Gamma_A A + u\\ \varepsilon[\dot{A}_f] &= A - \left([A_f] + \frac{[A_f][T_f]}{K_T} + \frac{[A_f][T_f]^2}{K_T K_{TT}}\right)\\ \varepsilon[\dot{T}_f] &= T - \left([T_f] + \frac{[A_f][T_f]}{K_T} + 2\frac{[A_f][T_f]^2}{K_T K_{TT}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where A and T is the total number of toxin and antitoxin proteins, respectively, while $[A_f]$, $[T_f]$ is the number of free toxin and antitoxin proteins. In Cataudella et al. (2013), the authors considered the model with $\varepsilon = 0$. In order to simplify our analysis we set $\varepsilon = 10^{-6}$. For the parameters



Fig. 1. The level sets of $\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{|\lambda_1|}\ln\left(\frac{|r(\mu,\tau)|}{\varepsilon}\right)$, where $\varepsilon = 10^{-2}$. The pairs (μ, τ) on the same curve are related to trajectories which converge synchronously to the stable equilibrium.



Fig. 2. The level sets of $\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{|\lambda_1|}\ln\left(\frac{|r(\mu,\tau)|}{\varepsilon}\right)$ for the toxin-antitoxin system, where $\varepsilon = 10^{-2}$.

$$\sigma_T = 166.28,\quad K_0 = 1,\quad \beta_M = \beta_c = 0.16,\quad \sigma_A = 10^2$$
$$\Gamma_A = 0.2,\quad K_T = K_{TT} = 0.3,$$

the system is bistable with two stable steady states:

$$x^\bullet = (27.1517\ 80.5151\ 58.4429\ 0.0877)$$
$$x^* = (162.8103\ 26.2221\ 0.0002\ 110.4375)\,.$$

It can be verified that the system is not monotone with respect to any orthant, however, it was established in Sootla and Mauroy (2016a) that it is *eventually monotone*. This means that the flow satisfies the monotonicity property after some initial transient.

We depict the level sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ in Figure 2, where it appears that these sets are monotone curves although the system does not satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 6. This could be explained by the property of *eventual monotonicity*, but we have not further investigated this case.

Lorenz System. Now we illustrate the level sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ in the case where r is complex-valued. Consider the Lorenz system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= \sigma(x_2 - x_1) + u\\ \dot{x}_2 &= x_1(\rho - x_3) - x_2 + u\\ \dot{x}_3 &= x_1 x_2 - \beta x_3\end{aligned}$$

with parameters $\sigma = 10$, $\rho = 2$, $\beta = 8/3$, which is bistable but not monotone. Note that the Jacobian matrix at the steady states has two complex conjugate dominant



Fig. 3. The level sets of $\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{|\lambda_1|} \ln\left(\frac{|r(\mu,\tau)|}{\varepsilon}\right)$ for the Lorenz system, where $\varepsilon = 10^{-2}$.

eigenvalues. In this case, Theorem 6 cannot be applied and Figure 3 shows that the level sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ are not monotone. It is however noticeable that the lower part of the switching separatrix (black curve) seems to be monotone (but the upper part is not monotone).

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have further developed a recent study on the problem of switching a bistable system between its steady states with temporal pulses. We have introduced a family of curves in the control parameter space, denoted as $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$, which provide an information on the time needed by the system to converge to the steady state. The sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ can be viewed as an extension of the switching separatrix defined in the previous study. They are related to the dominant eigenfunction of the Koopman operator, a property that provides a method to compute them. In the case of monotone systems, we have also shown that the level sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ are characterized by strong (monotonicity) properties.

Future research will investigate the topological properties of the level sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ such as connectedness. Moreover, characterizing the properties of the level sets $\partial\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ (and the switching separatrix) in the case of non-monotone (e.g. eventually monotone) systems is still an open question.

REFERENCES

Angeli, D. and Sontag, E. (2003). Monotone control systems. *IEEE Trans Autom Control*, 48(10), 1684–1698.

Brophy, J. and Voigt, C. (2014). Principles of genetic circuit design. *Nat methods*, 11(5), 508–520.

Cataudella, I., Sneppen, K., Gerdes, K., and Mitarai, N. (2013). Conditional cooperativity of toxin-antitoxin regulation can mediate bistability between growth and dormancy. *PLoS Comput Biol*, 9(8), e1003174.

Gardner, T., Cantor, C.R., and Collins, J.J. (2000). Construction of a genetic toggle switch in escherichia coli. *Nature*, 403, 339–342.

Levskaya, A., Weiner, O.D., Lim, W.A., and Voigt, C.A. (2009). Spatiotemporal control of cell signalling using a light-switchable protein interaction. *Nature*, 461, 997–1001.

Mauroy, A. and Mezic, I. (2016). Global stability analysis using the eigenfunctions of the Koopman operator. *IEEE Tran Autom Control*. doi: 10.1109/TAC.2016.2518918. In press.

Mauroy, A., Mezić, I., and Moehlis, J. (2013). Isostables, isochrons, and Koopman spectrum for the action–angle representation of stable fixed point dynamics. *Physica D*, 261, 19–30.

Menolascina, F., Di Bernardo, M., and Di Bernardo, D. (2011). Analysis, design and implementation of a novel scheme for in-vivo control of synthetic gene regulatory networks. *Automatica, Special Issue on Systems Biology*, 47(6), 1265–1270.

Mettetal, J.T., Muzzey, D., Gomez-Uribe, C., and van Oudenaarden, A. (2008). The Frequency Dependence of Osmo-Adaptation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Science*, 319(5862), 482–484.

Mezić, I. (2005). Spectral properties of dynamical systems, model reduction and decompositions. *Nonlinear Dynam*, 41(1-3), 309–325.

Mezic, I. (2013). Analysis of fluid flows via spectral properties of the Koopman operator. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 45, 357–378.

Mezic, I. (2015). On applications of the spectral theory of the koopman operator in dynamical systems and control theory. In *IEEE Conf Decision Control*, 7034–7041.

Milias-Argeitis, A., Summers, S., Stewart-Ornstein, J., Zuleta, I., Pincus, D., El-Samad, H., Khammash, M., and Lygeros, J. (2011). In silico feedback for in vivo regulation of a gene expression circuit. *Nat biotechnol*, 29(12), 1114–1116.

Purnick, P. and Weiss, R. (2009). The second wave of synthetic biology: from modules to systems. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 10(6), 410–422.

Schmid, P.J. (2010). Dynamic mode decomposition of numerical and experimental data. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 656, 5–28.

Sootla, A. and Mauroy, A. (2016a). Operator-theoretic characterization of eventually monotone systems. *Provisionally accepted for publication in Automatica*. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.01149`.

Sootla, A. and Mauroy, A. (2016b). Properties of isostables and basins of attraction of monotone systems. In *Proc Amer Control Conf (to appear)*. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.01153v2`.

Sootla, A., Oyarzún, D., Angeli, D., and Stan, G.B. (2015). Shaping pulses to control bistable biological systems. In *Proc Amer Control Conf*, 3138 – 3143.

Sootla, A., Oyarzún, D., Angeli, D., and Stan, G.B. (2016). Shaping pulses to control bistable systems: Analysis, computation and counterexamples. *Automatica*, 63, 254–264.

Strelkowa, N. and Barahona, M. (2010). Switchable genetic oscillator operating in quasi-stable mode. *J R Soc Interface*, 7(48), 1071–1082. doi:10.1098/rsif.2009.0487.

Tu, J.H., Rowley, C.W., Luchtenburg, D.M., Brunton, S.L., and Kutz, J.N. (2014). On dynamic mode decomposition: Theory and applications. *J Comput Dynamics*, 1(2), 391 – 421.

Uhlendorf, J., Miermont, A., Delaveau, T., Charvin, G., Fages, F., Bottani, S., Batt, G., and Hersen, P. (2012). Long-term model predictive control of gene expression at the population and single-cell levels. *Proc. Nat. Academy Sciences*, 109(35), 14271–14276.

Appendix A. PROOF OF THEOREM 6

Before we proceed with the proof of Theorem 6, we show a technical result, which establishes that for monotone systems the transient during switching between operating points is always an increasing function.

Proposition 7. Let the system (2) be monotone on $\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{U}_\infty$, then

$$\phi(\xi+h,x^*,\mu)\succeq\phi(\xi,x^*,\mu) \tag{A.1}$$

for any nonnegative scalars h, ξ, μ.

Proof: The proof stems from a well-know result in monotone systems theory, which states that the flow cannot increase (or decrease) on two disjoint time intervals. We show this result for completeness. Due to monotonicity we have

$$\phi(h,x^*,\mu)\succeq\phi(h,x^*,0)=x^* \tag{A.2}$$

for all nonnegative h, μ. Therefore, the semigroup property of the dynamical systems implies

$$\phi(\xi+h,x^*,\mu)=\phi(\xi,\phi(h,x^*,\mu),\mu)\succeq\phi(\xi,x^*,\mu), \tag{A.3}$$

for any nonnegative scalars ξ, h, μ. ■

Proof of Theorem 6: (i) Let (μ_1,τ_1), (μ_2,τ_2) belong to $\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ for some finite $\alpha>0$ and $\mu_2\geq\mu_1$, $\tau_2\geq\tau_1$. Then $r(\mu_1,\tau_1)$, $r(\mu_2,\tau_2)$ are finite. Due to monotonicity and Proposition 7, we have that

$$\phi(\tau_1,x^*,\mu_1h(\cdot,\tau_1))\preceq\phi(\tau_1,x^*,\mu_2h(\cdot,\tau_2))\preceq\phi(\tau_2,x^*,\mu_2h(\cdot,\tau_2)),$$

which according to Proposition 3 implies that

$$s_1^\bullet(\phi(\tau_1,x^*,\mu_1h(\cdot,\tau_1)))\leq s_1^\bullet(\phi(\tau_2,x^*,\mu_2h(\cdot,\tau_2))).$$

Using this property it is rather straightforward to show that $\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ is order-convex.

(ii) The sets $\partial_+\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ and $\partial_-\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ contain the maximal and minimal elements, respectively, of the order-convex set $\mathcal{S}^\alpha$. Assume that $(\mu_1,\tau_1),(\mu_2,\tau_2)\in\partial_+\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ (or $(\mu_1,\tau_1),(\mu_2,\tau_2)\in\partial_-\mathcal{S}^\alpha$). Then we cannot have $(\mu_1,\tau_1)\ll(\mu_2,\tau_2)$. Hence, if $\tau_1<\tau_2$, we must have $\mu_1\geq\mu_2$ and if $\mu_1<\mu_2$, we must have $\tau_1\geq\tau_2$. We prove the second part of the statement by contradiction. Let $\tau_1<\tau_2$, $\mu_1\leq\mu_2$ and let $s_1^\bullet(\phi(\tau_1,x^*,\mu_1h(\cdot,\tau_1)))=-\alpha$ and $s_1^\bullet(\phi(\tau_2,x^*,\mu_2h(\cdot,\tau_2)))=-\alpha$, where $\alpha>0$. Due to monotonicity we have that

$$\phi(t,x^*,\mu_1h(\cdot,\tau_1))\preceq\phi(t,x^*,\mu_2h(\cdot,\tau_2))\ \forall t\geq0,$$

which according to Proposition 3 entails

$$s_1^\bullet(\phi(t,x^*,\mu_1h(\cdot,\tau_1)))\leq s_1^\bullet(\phi(t,x^*,\mu_2h(\cdot,\tau_2))). \tag{A.4}$$

The flow $\phi(t,x^*,\mu_1h(\cdot,\tau_1))$ converges to $x^\bullet$ freely for all $t>\tau_1$, since $h(t,\tau_1)=0$ for all $t>\tau_1$. Negativity of $-\alpha$ implies that $s_1^\bullet(\cdot)$ is growing along the trajectory $\phi(\tau_1,x^*,\mu_1h(\cdot,\tau_1))$ and hence $s_1^\bullet(\phi(\tau_2,x^*,\mu_1h(\cdot,\tau_1)))>-\alpha$. This, however, contradicts (A.4), since

$$s_1^\bullet(\phi(\tau_2,x^*,\mu_1h(\cdot,\tau_1)))\leq s_1^\bullet(\phi(\tau_2,x^*,\mu_2h(\cdot,\tau_2)))=-\alpha.$$

(iii) Let $r(\mu_1,\tau_1)=r(\mu_2,\tau_2)=\alpha$ and pick $\tau_1<\tau_2$. Assume that $\mu_1\leq\mu_2$. We have that

$$\phi(\tau_1,x^*,\mu_1h(\cdot,\tau_1))\preceq\phi(\tau_1,x^*,\mu_2h(\cdot,\tau_1))\preceq\phi(\tau_2,x^*,\mu_2h(\cdot,\tau_1))$$

where the first inequality follows from monotonicity and the second follows from Proposition 7. Due to the condition $\phi(t,x,\mu)\gg\phi(t,x,\nu)$ for all x, all $\mu>\nu$ and all $t>0$ in the premise, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\tau_1,x^*,\mu_1h(\cdot,\tau_1))&\preceq\phi(\tau_2,x^*,\mu_2h(\cdot,\tau_1))\\&=\phi(\tau_2-\tau_1,\phi(\tau_1,x^*,\mu_2h(\cdot,\tau_1)),0)\\&\ll\phi(\tau_2-\tau_1,\phi(\tau_1,x^*,\mu_2h(\cdot,\tau_1)),\mu_2)\\&=\phi(\tau_2,x^*,\mu_2h(\cdot,\tau_2))\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently $r(\mu_1,\tau_1)<r(\mu_2,\tau_2)$. We arrive at a contradiction, hence $\mu_1>\mu_2$, which implies that $\partial_+\mathcal{S}^\alpha$ is a graph of a decreasing function. ■